

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/446,390	12/21/99	SCHULER		D	10191/1234	
D26646 KENYON & KENYON		MM71/1025	٦	EXAMINER		
DNE BROADWAY NEW YORK NY	,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834 Date Mailed:		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/25/01

· ·	_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	09/446,390	SCHULER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dang D Le	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 S	September 2001 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 6-24 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 10-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	5 priority and 00 0.0.0. 33 120	unu/01 121,					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 and 10-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In addition, the rejection of claims 11 and 16 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph in the previous Office Action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 15, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burr et al.

Regarding claim 15, Burr et al. show an electric machine equip with a collector (1), comprising:

- An end face with a plurality of channels (Figure 2); and
- A supply of lubricant (3) in an area of the end face allocated to the collector, wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Regarding claim 20, Burr et al. show an electric machine comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/446,390 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

A commutator (column 1, lines 1-5);

At least one brush (1), wherein a collector-side end face of the at least one brush has a plurality of channels (Figure 2); and

- A supply of lubricant (3) for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush;
- Wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at a location which is least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush, wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Regarding claims 21 and 24, it is noted that Burr et al. also show the collector having a plurality of grooves.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 10, 11, 14, 16, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. in view of Portail.

Regarding claim 6, Burr et al. show an electric machine comprising:

A commutator (column 1, lines 1-5);

Application/Control Number: 09/446,390 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

- At least one brush (1);

- A supply of soft lubricant (3) for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush;

Wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at least one of:

(a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b)

in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the

collector-side end face of the at least one brush, wherein the brush has

a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-side end face and wherein

the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face

(Figure 2).

Burr et al. do not use the oil lubricant.

Portail shows the lubricant being oil (11) for the purpose of reducing friction.

Since Burr et al. and Portail are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use oil lubricant instead of soft lubricant as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 10, Burr et al. shows a brush (1) for an electric machine equipped with a collector (1) comprising:

- An end surface (top of Figure 2); and
- A supply of soft lubricant (3) in an area of the end face allocated to the collector, wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-

Application/Control Number: 09/446,390

Art Unit: 2834

side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Burr et al. do not use oil lubricant.

Portail uses oil lubricant (11) for the purpose of reducing friction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use oil lubricant instead of soft lubricant as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 11 and 16, it is noted that Portail also shows the collector/commutator having recesses (4, 10) which function as storage reservoirs for the oil lubricant (Figure 4).

Regarding claims 14 and 19, it is noted that Burr et al. also show the collectorside end face having a plurality of channels (Figure 2).

Regarding claims 22 and 23, it is noted that Burr et al. also show the collector having a plurality of grooves.

6. Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. in view of Portail as respectively applied to claims 10 and 6 above, and further in view of Grunewald et al.

Regarding claims 12 and 17, the machine of Burr et al. modified by Portail shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brush being made from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof.

Grunewald et al. show the brush (11) being made from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof for the purpose of increasing brush life hours.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,390 Page 6

Art Unit: 2834

Since Burr et al., Portail and Grunewald et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the brush from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof as taught by Grunewald et al. for the purpose discussed above.

7. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. in view of Portail as respectively applied to claims 10 and 6 above, and further in view of Rogelein.

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the machine of Burr et al. modified by Portail shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brush being protected by a dust guard.

Rogelein shows the brush being protected by a dust guard (29) for the purpose of preventing contamination.

Since Burr et al., Portail and Rogelein are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to protect the brush by a dust guard as taught by Rogelein for the purpose discussed above.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2834

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,390

Art Unit: 2834

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

DDL October 23, 2001

NESTOR RAMIREZ

SUPERVISORY PATEUT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800